



C.S.No.953 of 2003

WEB (KRISHNAN RAMASAMY. J.,

This Court vide order dated 01.02.2023, framed the issues in the present suit, in which the first issue has been framed as “Whether this Court is having territorial jurisdiction to entertain the suit filed by the defendants 2, 7, 8 and 9?”.

2.Learned counsel appearing for the defendant would submit that the first issue is supposed to have been framed as “**Whether this Court is having territorial jurisdiction to entertain the suit?**” and by typographical error it has been mentioned as “**Whether this Court is having territorial jurisdiction to entertain the suit filed by the defendants 2, 7, 8 and 9**” and therefore, he prayed to remove the words viz., “filed by the defendants 2, 7, 8 and 9”.

3.Learned counsel appearing for the plaintiff acceded to the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the defendants.

4.In view of the above, the first issue is recasted as “ Whether this Court is having territorial jurisdiction to entertain the suit?”.



WEB COPY



KRISHNAN RAMASAMY. J.,

rst

5.Registry is directed to incorporate the above said first issue in the order dated 01.02.2023 and issue a fresh order copy.

Post the matter on 21.03.2023.

27.02.2023

rst

C.S.No.953 of 2003