



S.A.No.1076 of 2008 and
M.P.No.1 of 2008

WEB COPY

SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.

Learned Counsel for the Appellant submits that the 2nd Appellant and 1st Respondent died. Steps have been taken and Affidavit of Service also filed. But the printed cause list reflects the steps taken against the legal heirs of the 2nd Appellant alone. C.M.P.No.16286 of 2021 filed by the learned Counsel for the Appellant to implead the legal heirs of the 1st Respondent had not been listed today. On verification of records it is found that the C.M.P.No.16286 of 2021 is available in the Court records but printed cause list does not reflect the same.

2. Learned Counsel for the Respondent present in Court, even though C.M.P.No.16286 of 2021 is not listed and since the learned Counsel for the Appellant had taken notice and filed Affidavit of Service and there is no objection on part of the learned Counsel appearing for the Respondent, the petition in **C.M.P.No.16286 of 2021 is allowed.**

3. Registry is directed to carry out necessary amendment and post the case after a week.

12.03.2025

shl



WEB COPY



SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.,

shl

S.A.No.1076 of 2008 and
M.P.No.1 of 2008

12.03.2025

(1/2)