

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

( Civil Appellate Jurisdiction )

Tuesday, the Twenty Fifth day of February Two Thousand Twenty

PRESENT

THE HON`BLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

SA No.598 of 2000

AND CMP.NO.12026 OF 2001

1 CHAKRAPANI APPELLANT IN SA.NO.598/2000  
AND RESPONDENT IN CMP.NO.12026 OF 2001

Vs

1 RATHINASABAPATHI 1 TO 14 RESPONDENTS IN SA.NO.598/2000  
AND 1 TO 10 APPELLANTS IN CMP.NO.12026/2001

2 VASUNDRA

3 RAJENDRAN

4 MADANVALLI AMMAL

5 PALANIVEL

6 ANUSUYA

7 SIVAGAMASUNDARI

8 SANTHA SAKKUBAI (DECASED)

9 ALLIVANI

10 ANANDHAVALLI

11 SATHIYABAMA

12 SATHIVATHI

13 SATHIYAVANI

14 SATHIYAKALA

[ RR11 TO 14 BROUGHT ON RECORD  
AS LRS OF THE DECEASED R8 VIDE ORDER OF  
COURT DATED 01/02/2018 MADE IN CMP NO.577 TO  
579/2016 IN SA.NO.598/2000 (MDIJ) ]

Appeal against the Judgment and Decree dated 11.10.1999 in A.S.NO.109/98 on the file of Additional District Judge cum, Judicial Magistrate Court, Cuddalore partially allowing the appeal filed by the respondents 1, 5 & 6 along with others against the Judgment and Decree dated 22.7.98 in O.S.No.59 of 89 on the file of Sub Court, Chidambaram (in SA.No.598/2000)

(ii) Stay all further proceedings in O.S.No.59/89 on the file of the subordinate judge at Chidambaram (in CMP.12026/2001) disposal of the SA.598/2000 respectively.

Order: This Second Appeal coming on for hearing on this day upon perusing the Grounds of Appeal, the Judgment and Decrees of the Lower Appellate Court and the Court of First instance and the material papers in the suit and upon hearing the arguments of M/S.ILAYARAJA KANDASAMY, APPELLANT IN SA.NO.598/2000 AND RESPONDENT CMP.NO.12026 OF 2001 AND MR.SRINATH SRIDEVAN FOR 1 TO 7 respondent and of MR. R. SILAMBARASAN, ADVOCATE FOR 9 TO 10 Respondents and of MR. G. SRIVENKATESH, ADVOCATE FOR 11 TO 14 Respondents the court made the following order:-

The learned counsel appearing for the appellant as well as the respondents submitted that there is a possibility of amicable settlement between the parties.

2. Considering the said request, this matter is referred to mediation. The parties are directed to appear before the Mediation Centre attached to this Court on 03.03.2020. The Mediation Centre is requested to accommodate the parties on 03.03.2020 and explore the possibilities of amicable settlement of the issues involved in the second appeal. It is made clear that the parties will not be entitled to any notice for the first hearing before the Mediation Centre. Mediation centre is requested to complete the process of settlement within a period of four weeks.

3. List the matter "for compliance" on 24.03.2020.

WEB COPY  
-sd/-  
25/02/2020  
/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar ( Statistics / C.S. )  
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE SUBORDINATE JUDGE,  
CHIDAMBARAM


2 THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT CUM  
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, CUDDALORE

3 THE TAMILNADU MEDIATION AND CONCILIATION CENTRE,  
HIGH COURT,  
MADRAS 104

C.C. to M/S R.YASHOD VARDHAN Advocate on payment of necessary  
charges

Order  
in  
SA.598/2000  
and CMP.12026/2001  
Date :25/02/2020

From 26.2.2001 the Registry is issuing certified  
copies of the Interim Orders in this format  
RRI 05/04/2018  
VC (27/02/2020)p



WEB COPY