



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21-01-2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

W.P.No.1086 of 2026


M.Mageshwaran,

..Petitioner

Vs

1. The Home Secretary,
Secretariat,
Fort St. George,
Chennai 600 009.
2. The Director General of Police (L & O),
Tamil Nadu Police Head Quarters,
Doctor Radhakrishnan Salai,
Chennai - 600 004.
3. The Commissioner of Police,
Tiruppur,
Tiruppur District.
4. The Deputy Commissioner of Police,
(Armed Personal),
Tiruppur,
Tiruppur District.
5. The Deputy Commissioner of Police,
(Head Quarters),
Tiruppur District.
Tiruppur.

..Respondents



Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue Writ of Mandamus, directing the 2nd respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 19.09.2025 on merits within the time limit fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.S.Mohan
For Respondent : Mr.P.Bala Thandayutham,
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking for direction to the 2nd respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 19.09.2025 on merits, within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

2. The petitioner, along with 11 others, was implicated in Crime No.20 of 2022 registered by Poochampalli (Barur) Police Station, Krishnagiri, for offences under Sections 148, 120-B and 302 read with 34 IPC. The case was tried as S.C. No.174 of 2022 on the file of the Additional Sessions Judge, Krishnagiri. By judgment dated 14.08.2024, all the accused, including the petitioner, were acquitted, as the prosecution failed to prove the charges. Thereafter, he submitted various representations seeking reinstatement in service . Subsequent to the acquittal, the petitioner submitted various representations lastly on 19.09.2025 to all the respondents, requesting reinstatement in service with all attendant and consequential benefits, in view of



the acquittal. It is stated that the said representations were duly received by the respondents. However, till date, no orders have been passed and no response has been given by the respondents, necessitating the filing of the present writ petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in view of the acquittal, the petitioner is entitled to reinstatement and that the respondents have failed to consider his representation. Therefore, it would suffice, if a direction is issued by this Court to the respondents to consider the petitioner's representations, within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

4. Learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondents submitted that on production of requisite details, the respondents will consider the petitioner's representation and therefore, suitable directions may be issued in the above regard.

5. On perusal of the entire records, this Court finds that the petitioner has failed to furnish basic and material particulars relating to his service, including when he entered service and when he was discharged or kept out of service on account of the criminal case. Without production of such basic facts, the relief sought in the present writ petition is not permissible. However, liberty is granted



to the petitioner to file a fresh writ petition by placing all relevant facts and documents on record.

WEB COPY

6. With the above liberty, the writ petition stands disposed of. No costs.

21-01-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
vsi2

To

1.The Home Secretary,
Secretariat,
Fort St. George,
Chennai 600 009.

2.The Director General of Police (L & O),
Tamil Nadu police Head Quarters,
Doctor Radhakrishnan Salai,
Chennai - 600 004.

3.The Commissioner of Police,
Tiruppur,
Tiruppur District.

4.The Deputy Commissioner of Police,
(Armed Personal),
Tiruppur,
Tiruppur District.

5.The Deputy Commissioner of Police,
(Head Quarters),
Tiruppur District.
Tiruppur.



WEB COPY

W.P.No.1086 of 2



M.DHANDAPANI, J.

vsi2

W.P.No.1086 of 2026

21-01-2026