



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

W.P. No. 1622 of 2026

K.M.Abdul Rasheed

..Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Commissioner
Hindu Religious and Endowment Department
No. 119 Uthamar Gandhi Salai
Thousand Lights West
Nungambakkam, Chennai 600 034

2. The Executive Officer
Arulmigu Muthu Kumarasamy Temple
Dharmaraja Koil Street
Tiruppathur 635 601

..Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ of mandamus directing the first respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 22.12.2025 and pass an order directing the second respondent to consider the present status of the structure leased to the petitioner, its present market value and rent of other properties situated in the same locality as interim exercise fix provisional rent to the impugned property and collect the same from the petitioner without causing prejudice to either side, till the conclusion and final disposal of the Revision Petition No.298/2024.

For petitioner

Mr. S. Deiva Sigamani

For respondents

Mr. M. Veerabathran Prasanth
Government Counsel (HR & CE)



ORDER

WEB COPY

This writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief:

“a writ of mandamus directing the first respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 22.12.2025 and pass an order directing the second respondent to consider the present status of the structure leased to the petitioner, its present market value and rent of other properties situated in the same locality as interim exercise fix provisional rent to the impugned property and collect the same from the petitioner without causing prejudice to either side, till the conclusion and final disposal of the Revision Petition No.298/2024.”

2. Today, when the matter was taken up for hearing, Mr. S. Deiva Sigamani, learned counsel for the petitioner, submitted that though this writ petition has been filed seeking the aforesaid prayer, it would suffice if a direction is given to the first respondent to dispose of the revision petition in Rev. Petn. No.298 of 2024 within a stipulated period.

3. Mr. M. Veerabathran Prasanth, learned Government Counsel (HR & CE) appearing for the respondents, also made a fair submission that the first respondent will take all endeavours to dispose of the aforesaid revision petition within a period of four weeks.



4. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

WEB COPY

5. In view of the restricted relief now sought by the learned counsel for the petitioner and the fair submission made by the learned Government Counsel (HR & CE) appearing for the respondents, this Court, without going into the merits of the case, directs the first respondent to dispose of Rev. Petn. No.298 of 2024, on its own merits and in accordance with law, after hearing all the parties concerned, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Till then, both parties are directed to maintain *status quo* as on date.

6. With the above direction, this writ petition stands disposed of. No costs. Connected W.M.P. stands closed.

28-07-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

SRM

To

1. The Commissioner
Hindu Religious and Endowment Department
No.119 Uthamar Gandhi Salai
Thousand Lights West, Nungambakkam,
Chennai - 600 034
2. The Executive Officer
Arulmigu Muthu Kumarasamy Temple
Dharmaraja Koil Street
Tiruppathur - 635 601



WEB COPY

W.P. No. 1622 of 2



KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J.

SRM

W.P. No. 1622 of 2026

28-07-2026