



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 677 OF 2025  
WITH  
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 679 OF 2025

Rahul Vishnu Lad & Anr. ..Applicants

Vs.

The State Of Maharashtra ..Respondent

Mr. Abhishek Yende a/w. Mr. Sagar Paspohe, Ms. Sejal Todkar for Applicants  
in both Criminal Applications.  
Smt. Prajakta P. Shinde, APP for Respondent No.1-State.  
Mr. Vijay Patil, API, Crime Branch, Solapur City.

CORAM : A. S. GADKARI AND  
RAJESH S. PATIL, JJ.  
DATE : 30<sup>th</sup> JUNE, 2025.

PC.:-

1. Leave to amend to implead the first informant as Respondent No.2, granted.

1.1 Amendment be carried out forthwith and in any event, during the course of the day.

2. After the amendment is carried out, issue notice to newly added Respondent No.2, returnable on 14<sup>th</sup> July 2025.

Hamdast permitted.

3. Perusal of the Conveyance Deed dated 16<sup>th</sup> March 2025 clearly indicates that, the clause which was alleged to have subsequently inserted

by the Applicants was very much there in the said document at the time of its registration before the Sub-Registrar of Assurances, Solapur.

3.1 The contention of Respondent No.2 that, the said clause was not in the draft, however, it was inserted at the time of the registration, *prima facie*, cannot be accepted.

3.2 Respondent No.2, informant claims to be in the construction business and is running a firm namely "Tejas Associates". He has signed the said document in English.

4. Till the returnable date, the investigation of the crime to continue, however, the Investigating Agency shall not file charge-sheet without prior leave of this Court.

(RAJESH S. PATIL, J.)

(A.S. GADKARI, J.)