

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.483 OF 2019

Meghdoot Enterprises through its
Proprietor Ramesh Shrikant Dongare
(Present at Kolhapur Central Jail) ...Applicant

vs.

Surya Traders, B-41, MIDC Shirol Kolhapur
Through Raghunath Kamble & Anr. ...Respondents

**WITH
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.484 OF 2019**

Meghdoot Enterprises through its
Proprietor Ramesh Shrikant Dongare
(Present at Kolhapur Central Jail) ...Applicant

vs.

Supriya Traders, B-41, MIDC Shirol Kolhapur
Through Raghunath Kamble & Anr. ...Respondents

**WITH
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.485 OF 2019**

Meghdoot Enterprises through its
Proprietor Ramesh Shrikant Dongare
(Present at Kolhapur Central Jail) ...Applicant

vs.

Surya Traders, B-41, MIDC Shirol Kolhapur
Through Raghunath Kamble & Anr. ...Respondents

**WITH
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.486 OF 2019**

Meghdoot Enterprises through its
Proprietor Ramesh Shrikant Dongare
(Present at Kolhapur Central Jail) ...Applicant

vs.

Surya Traders, B-41, MIDC Shirol Kolhapur
Through Raghunath Kamble & Anr. ...Respondents

Ms. Saima Ansari, Advocate for the Applicant.
Mr. Ashishkumar Shrivastava h/f. Mr. Anand S. Patil, Advocate for the

Respondent No.1.

Mr. H.J. Dedhia, APP for Respondent – State.

Mr. Ramesh Shrikant Dongare, Applicant in person.

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 17th JANUARY 2024

P. C. :-

1. This Court as per order dated 17th March 2023 has called a report from the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Kolhapur on the point of deposit of Rs.3 Lakhs by the Applicant. Accordingly, the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Kolhapur as per report dated 21st April 2023 informed that the Applicant has not deposited the amount till 18th April 2023.

2. The order dated 5th October 2023 records about recalling the order of issuance of bailable warrant. As his learned Advocate has returned the papers even the Secretary, Maharashtra State Legal Services Authority was asked to appoint Advocate. When asked the Applicant who submitted that Advocate Vijay Darji is appointed but it is not communicated to this Court.

Lateron

3. In the afternoon session, learned Advocate for the Complainant apprised the Court about the conviction in four cases and confirmation by the Appellate Court and that is why, four revisions. He submitted that his client is not interested in sending the Applicant behind bar but client is

interested only in getting the refund of the amount.

4. Learned Advocate Ms. Saima Ansari submitted that she got telephonic message to appear, yet appointment letter is not issued.
5. Let the Secretary, High Court Legal Services Authority to issue appointment letter to the learned Advocate appointed to represent the Applicant in four revisions.
6. When asked the Applicant, when he will deposit the amount, the Applicant submitted that he will contact the complainant and try to settle the matter.
7. Matter be kept on **13th February 2024**.

[S. M. MODAK, J.]