

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIRCUIT BENCH AT KOLHAPUR
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 1939 OF 2026

Dr. Arun Vitthal Paudmal

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Thr. The Secretary And Ors.

...

Mr. Chaitanya S. Kulkarni and Ms. Manali Patil, Advocate for
Petitioner.

Mr. Siddheshwar B. Kalel, AGP for the Respondent No.1-State.

...

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
NANDESH S. DESHPANDE, JJ.

DATE : 10th JUNE, 2026.

P. C. :

1. Heard learned Advocate for the Petitioner. Learned AGP
waives service of notice for respondent no.1.

2. It appears that the respondent no.2 has issued a notice dated
23.04.2026, which is stated to be under the ordinance of Shivaji
Vidyapeeth, and the increment of the petitioner has been stopped
for one year. It appears that the petitioner is employee with
respondent no.4, the question that is required to be gone in to us
whether the petitioner would then be an employee of Municipal

Corporation against whom the action can be taken by the Municipal Corporation and it is also required to be considered as to whether which recruitment and disciplinary rules would govern the petitioner's service, therefore *prima facie* case is made for staying operation of the impugned order/letter dated 23.04.2026 till next date.

3. The notices of respondent nos.2 to 4 are made returnable on 06.07.2026.
4. Till then, the operation of the impugned order is stayed.

[NANDESH S. DESHPANDE, J.]

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.]