

Esha



IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

SECOND APPEAL NO.6 OF 2012

LAWRENCE MARTIN D'COSTA (SINCE
DECEASED) THROUGH LR'S AND ANR.,
Versus

... APPELLANTS

FATIMA BRAGANZA AND ANR.,

... RESPONDENTS

Mr. Jatin Ramaiya, Advocate for the Appellants.

Mr. Jagannath Mulgaonkar with Ms. Shweta Parulekar, Advocates
for Respondent No. 1.

Ms. Sulekha Kamat, Advocate for Respondent No. 2.

CORAM:- BHARATI DANGRE, J.

DATED :- 3rd October, 2025

P.C:

In continuation of the previous order dated 22.08.2025, the learned Counsel representing Respondent No. 1 state that every attempt was made to contact Respondent No. 1, but he could not establish contact and therefore, he seek recusal from the proceedings.

At his request, the appearance of Mr. Mulgaonkar is waived as he was unable to establish contact with his client.

2. In the given circumstances, I deem it appropriate to issue fresh notice to Respondent No. 1 on the address mentioned in the title cause, by making the notice returnable on 21.11.2025, however, if Respondent No. 1 could not be served, the learned Counsel for the

Appellants will have to take steps to serve Respondent No. 1 by means of substituted mode of service.

BHARATI DANGRE, J.