



Suzana

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1415 OF 2026 (F)**

**IN**

**SECOND APPEAL NO.40 OF 2026**

SHASHIKALA DABALE

... APPLICANT

Versus

MATTHEW FRANCIS RODRICKS AND 2 ORS

... RESPONDENTS

Mr. J. J. Mulgaonkar, Advocate with Ms. Shweta J. Parulekar,  
Advocate for the Appellant.  
Appellant in person.

**CORAM:- HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, J.**

**DATED :- 11th June, 2026**

P.C.

1. Heard Learned Advocate for the Appellant.

2. The Learned Advocate outrightly submits, on instructions from the Appellant, who is present in the Court, that the Appellant in the present case is willing to explore the possibility of settlement with the original Plaintiffs. He requests two weeks' time to explore the possibility of settlement.

At the request of the Advocate for the Appellant, stand over to 25.06.2026.

3. In case the parties decide to settle amongst themselves, the

parties are at liberty to draft the Consent Terms or Memorandum of Understanding and place them before this Court on the next date.

**HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, J.**