

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

SECOND APPEAL NO. 92 OF 2013

SANYOG GANESH NARVEKAR ... Appellant

Versus

ANJALI SANYOG NARVEKAR ... Respondent

The appellant with Ms. T. Kamat Ghanekar, Advocate.

The respondent with Shri Nigel Da Costa Frias, Advocate.

Coram:- NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, J.

Date:- 29th August 2018

P.C.:

A meeting was called for with the parties to see if any modalities of settlement could be worked out between them.

2. After an interactive session with the parties it is apparent that no such reconciliation is possible even though the respondent claims that she would still want the marriage to continue. The appellant otherwise submits that he is not open to stay with the respondent even on a trial basis for a period of 3-6 months to see if any reconciliation is possible.

3. Considering thus that the parties are at loggerheads and that there is no likelihood of any settlement inter se between them, I deem it appropriate to fix the matter for final hearing in the board

of October,2018.

NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, J.

mv