

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

SECOND APPEAL NO. 92 OF 2013

SANYOG GANESH NARVEKAR ... Appellant

Versus

ANJALI SANYOG NARVEKAR ... Respondent

Mr. Sudin Usgaonkar, Senior Advocate with Ms. Tanvi Ganekar,
Advocate for the Appellant.

Ms. B. Narvekar, Advocate for Respondent.

Coram:- PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, J.

Date:- 18th July 2019

P.C.:

With the consent of the respective learned Counsel and the parties, this Court has made an attempt for reconciliation by inviting the respective parties in the chamber. It appears that the appellant does not wish to cohabit with the respondent even for a day. However, the parties at that time, agreed to convene a meeting for settlement of the dispute once and for all and therefore, sought time. The matter was therefore, adjourned till today.

2. However, today it is informed to the Court that the possibility of amicable settlement is remote, as according to the learned Counsel for the appellant, the respondent has filed an appeal

against an order of refusal of injunction passed against her by the Trial Court.

3. Under such circumstances, stand over to 13.08.2019.

PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, J.

MF/-