

Suzana

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1003 OF 2017

WITH

SECOND APPEAL NO.92 OF 2013

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1003 OF 2017

IN

SECOND APPEAL NO.92 OF 2013

SANYOG GANESH NARVEKAR., ... APPLICANT

Versus

ANJALI SANYOG NARVEKAR., ... RESPONDENT

WITH

SECOND APPEAL NO.92 OF 2013

SANYOG GANESH NARVEKAR ... APPELLANT

Versus

ANJALI SANYOG NARVEKAR ... RESPONDENT

Ms. Sudin M. Usgaokar, Senior Advocate with Ms. Tanvi Mashelkar,
Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. Nigel Da Costa Frias, Advocate with Mr. Vineet Surlakar, Advocate
for the Respondent.

CORAM:- VALMIKI MENEZES, J.

DATED :- 23rd February, 2026

P.C.

1. Whilst hearing the application in this Second Appeal, which seeks amendment of the plaint to add additional grounds that the parties are de facto separated and therefore the Plaintiff is entitled to decree of divorce, it has come to light that the Respondent has filed a Regular Civil Suit No.248/2013 for restitution of conjugal rights; in the Respondent's Suit, the trial Court has dismissed the Suit, and the Appellate Court has also dismissed the Appeal against that decree.

The matter is now pending in a Second Appeal bearing No.20 of 2025 before this Court.

2. Since it would be necessary to hear both, the Second Appeal No.20 of 2025 and the present Second Appeal together, in the normal course, a direction could be given that both Appeals be heard together. However, the present allotment of work under the roster places Second Appeals pertaining to the year 2025 before Justice Amit Jamsandekar, whereas the present Second Appeal, which pertains to the year 2013, is allotted to this Court. In view of the allotment under the roster, the learned Advocate for the Respondent submits that he would move a praecipe to Hon'ble the Chief Justice seeking allotment of Second Appeal No.20 of 2025 to this Court or vice versa, such that both the Second Appeals would be heard by the same Court.

3. List this matter on 09.03.2026.

VALMIKI MENEZES, J.