

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

SECOND APPEAL NO. 92 OF 2013

SHRI. SANYOG GANESH NARVEKAR ... Appellant
Versus
SMT. ANJALI SANYOG NARVEKAR ... Respondent

Mr. J.P. Mulgaonkar with Mr. Jagannath Jayant Mulgaonkar,
Advocates for the appellant.

Mr. Nigel Da Costa Frias, Advocate for the Respondent.

Coram:- U. V. BAKRE, J.

Date:- 13th December, 2013

P.C.:

Heard.

2. Admit on following substantial question of law:

(i) Whether the impugned Judgment discloses patent perversity and non-application of mind on the part of the learned First Appellate Court by not considering the application of the Appellant under Order 41 Rule 27 of Code of Civil Procedure dated 04/01/2013 and thereby deprived the Appellant from producing additional evidence, which was material to decide the real issue in controversy between the parties, moreover since these documents sought to be produced were certified copies issued by Courts and therefore public documents, which were within the knowledge of the Respondent?

3. Parties agreed to go for mediation. By consent, Mr. Valmiki

Menezes, learned Counsel is appointed as Mediator. Mediator to submit report within a period of eight weeks.

U. V. BAKRE, J.

mv