

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

SECOND APPEAL NO. 1 OF 2014

SHRI DINANATH M. MARDOLKAR AND
ANR.,

... Appellants

Versus

SHRI RAJARAM G. NAIK AND 7 ORS.,

... Respondents

Mr. Parag Rao, Advocate for the Appellants.

Mr. Vilas P. Thali, Advocate for Respondents No. 3, 4a, 4b, 4c, 4e,
4f, 4g, 5 and 6.

Coram:- S. B. SHUKRE, J.

Date:- 13th March, 2014

P.C:

Heard.

2. Admit on the following substantial questions of law:

(i) Whether both the Courts below were justified in holding that
suit filed by the appellants was barred by limitation ?

(ii) Whether both the Courts below were justified in holding that
appellants are not entitled to alternate relief of refund of the part
consideration paid by him to the respondents as per the agreement
dated 18.01.1997 ?

S. B. SHUKRE, J.

EV