



vinita



IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.35 OF 2026 (F)

ADITYA BAKAYA

... PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR STATION
HOUSE OFFICER (SHO) AND 2 ORS

... RESPONDENTS

Mr. Prabhjit Jauhar, Ms Rosemary Raju, Ms Vijeta Poulekar, Advocate through VC along with Ms Pallavi Betkekar, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. Junaid Shaikh, Advocate holding for Ms Gautami Kamat, Advocate for the Respondent No. 2.

**CORAM:- SUMAN SHYAM &
AMIT S. JAMSANDEKAR, JJ.**

DATED :- 2nd February, 2026

P.C.

Mr Shaikh, learned Counsel appearing for the Respondent no.2 submits that Ms. Gautami Kamat, learned Counsel, has been hospitalised today as a result of which the reply could not be filed and therefore prayer is made for adjournment of two weeks in this matter.

2. Mr Jauhar, learned Counsel for the Petitioner, appearing through VC, on the other hand, has expressed extreme urgency in the matter and opposed the prayer for adjournment.

3. Be that as it may, considering the ground on which prayer is made by the learned Counsel for the Respondent no.2, we grant time till 11.2.2026 to the Respondent no.2 to file reply by serving advance



copy on the other side.

4. Rejoinder, if any, to be filed on or before 16.2.2026.
5. List this matter again on board on 17.2.2026, high on board.

AMIT S. JAMSANDEKAR, J.

SUMAN SHYAM, J.