



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 8119 OF 2025

HEMANT PRAKASHRAO YETE

VS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA, THR. SECRETARY OF HOME DEPARTMENT, MUMBAI AND OTHERS

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's orders
---	---------------------------

Shri N.B.Raut, Advocate for petitioner.
Ms. M.S.Naik, AGP for respondent/State.

**CORAM: ANIL S. KILOR AND
RAJNISH R. VYAS, JJ.**

DATED : 17th DECEMBER, 2025.

Heard.

2. According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, in the departmental inquiry, he has suggested the name of a particular person as a defence representative.

3. Without giving any reason, the respondent authorities has rejected the request made by the petitioner.

4. *Prima facie*, we could not find any reason as to why the name of Mr. Umesh Marodkar as a defence representative was not considered by the respondent authorities. As such, issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 06/01/2026.

5. Ms. M.S.Naik, learned A.G.P. waives service of notice for the respondent nos. 1 and 2/State.

6. The petitioner(s) is/are permitted to serve the remaining respondents by private mode, in addition to regular mode of service and shall file an affidavit of service

by next date, failing which the petitioner(s) shall deposit costs of Rupees Five Hundred with the High Court Gazetted Officers' Association, Nagpur.

7. The office objection(s), if any, shall be removed before the next date.

8. In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to maintain status quo as on today.

(RAJNISH R. VYAS, J.)

(ANIL S. KILOR, J.)

B.T.K.