



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR
WRIT PETITION NO.7721/2025

M/s Chia Technologies Pvt. Ltd. Thane .Vs. Union of India, and Ors.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. A.S.Dhore, Mr. Ravikant, Mr. Y. Bhangale, Advocates for petitioner
Mr. J.Mishra with Mrs. K.Jaltare, Advocates for respondent Nos.1 to 4

CORAM : ANIL L. PANSARE AND NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, JJ.
DATE : JANUARY 30, 2026.

Vide order dated 16.01.2026, respondents were directed to place on record original documents, annexures E, G and J annexed with the additional affidavit.

2. Counsel for respondents submit that the documents are received and will be filed. The counsel submit that the Department does not call for original authorization letter and, therefore, has photocopy of the authorization given by the Custom House Agent of the petitioner. The usual practice, according to the respondent, is to act on photocopy of authorization.

3. We find this submission to be strange. The documents under question indicate that the Director of the petitioner's company has signed these three documents. According to petitioner, the signatures on these documents are forged and accordingly disputed the same. If the statement of respondents is to be accepted that it is the practice to act on photocopy, possibility of department acting on forged documents cannot be ruled out and is thus a very dangerous practice.

4. Be that is it may, we will go through the relevant provisions and seek assistance of Mr. J. Mishra, counsel now appearing for respondents on this point.

5. Stand over to 13.02.2026.

6. Interim order to continue till then.

(JUDGE)

(JUDGE)

Corrected order.

(JUDGE)

(JUDGE)

Corrected as per the Hon'ble
Court's order dated 04.02.2026