



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO. 7721 OF 2025

[M/s Chia Technologies Pvt. Ltd. Through its authorised representative Shri N. G. Lokare
vs. The Union of India through Secretary, Ministry of Finance (Dept. Of Revenue) and ors.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda
of Coram, Appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. A. S. Dhore and Mr. Yash Bangale, Advocates for the
petitioner
Mrs. Ketki Jaltare Vaidya, Advocate for respondent nos. 1 to 4
along with Mr. Jitendra Mishra, Advocate through video
conferencing
Mr. V. D. Dixit, Advocate for respondent no. 5

CORAM : **ANIL L. PANSARE AND**
NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, JJ.

DATE : **17-03-2026.**

In response to order dated 13-3-2026,
Mr. Ishan Wasnik, employee/representative of Ryder
Shipping Lines Pvt. Ltd. (Respondent No. 6) is present.
When enquired, he submits that he received
authorization letter from the petitioner – company
through Harsh Zunzunwala through mobile no.
9699239175. When enquired as to whether he received
original authorization letter, he submits that usual
practice is to share documents through e-mail or
WhatsApp. Accordingly, he transferred the documents
to respondent no. 5. He seeks time to engage counsel
and put forth all the details. Time granted.

Mr. Ankush Govind Raut is present for
respondent no. 7. When enquired, he submits that he

2

has signed panchanama dated 29-9-2025 and 30-9-2025 as instructed by Mr. Nagnath Lokare of petitioner – company. He submits that on 9-1-2026, Nagnath Sir shared PDF of a letter on WhatsApp. It contained an endorsement that he is not working for petitioner – company. He signed below endorsement, scanned the document and shared with Nagnath Sir on his mobile no. 9820373727. When enquired as to why did he sign such endorsement, he submits that because he was informed that he does not officially work for the company and, therefore, such endorsement and his signature was required. He further submits that he is working for petitioner company since the year 2024 and received payment from the company, the necessary details of which shall be furnished in due course. He seeks time to engage counsel and put forth all the details. Time granted.

Considering above, *prima facie* it appears that the petitioner is the one, who has taken this Court for a ride. Accordingly we direct the petitioner to deposit Rs. 5 Lakhs with the Registry of this Court before next date. The petitioner shall remain personally present before the Court on next date.

Stand over to 23-3-2026.

The counsel for the petitioner makes a request to continue interim relief.

3

Considering today's development, we are not inclined to continue interim relief. The request is accordingly rejected.

(JUDGE)

(JUDGE.)

wasnik