



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION (TR) NO.1029 OF 2024

Amrita M. Pandey Vs. Mahendra J. Pandey

*Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's Orders
or directions and Registrar's order*

Court's or Judge's Order

Mr. R. S. Kurekar, Advocate for applicant.

Mr. R. N. Borwankar, Advocate for non-applicant/respondent.

CORAM : ROHIT W. JOSHI, J.

DATE : 04.05.2026.

. The present application is filed seeking transfer of Hindu Marriage Petition (HMP) No.454 of 2024 from the file of learned Family Court, Thane to the file of learned Family Court, Nagpur.

2. The contention of the applicant/wife is that she is a resident of Nagpur and as such it will be difficult for her to travel all the way to Thane for contesting the proceeding.

3. The respondent/husband has opposed the application. One of the contentions in the reply is that the applicant/wife is, in fact, residing at Thane and not at Nagpur. In support of the contention, identity card of the child, who is studying in a school in Thane is produced on record. In addition, a fee payment receipt dated 03.10.2025 is also filed. These documents show that the child is studying at Thane. It is not in dispute that the custody of child is with applicant/wife. The transfer application is filed in October, 2024. The fee

payment receipt is dated 03.10.2025. The applicant has not filed any material to the contrary to indicate that she is residing at Nagpur as stated in the transfer application.

4. In view of the material on record indicating that the applicant is residing at Thane, the application is **rejected**.

5. In the event the applicant/wife makes an application for appearance through video-conferencing, the same may be considered favorably and permission to appear through video-conferencing may be granted on such dates and at such stages as is deemed appropriate by the learned Family Court.

(ROHIT W. JOSHI, J.)

Ταημαγ...