



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.877/2017

Chandrakant Sheshraoji Darne .Vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.881/2017

Sudhakar Shamraoji Choudhari .Vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.879/2017

Vilas Narayan Chawat .Vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.756/2017

Prakash Haridas Chouhari .Vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.880/2017

Moreshwar Gulabrao Pohadare .Vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.878/2017

Bablu Ajabrao Wate .Vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.882/2017

Sudhakar Yadaorao Thute .Vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.883/2017

Ishwar Kanarkar (dead) thr. LRs. .Vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. B. G. Kulkarni, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. N. S. Warulkar, Advocate for petitioner.

A.G.P for respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

Mr. A. C. Dharmadhikari, Advocate for respondent Nos. 5 and 6.

CORAM : ANIL L. PANSARE, J.

DATE : JANUARY 22, 2025

On 19.12.2024, following order was passed.

“The counsel for the petitioners intends to file certain documents. The manner in which proceedings are being conducted, in the sense, on each date one or another set of documents is tendered across the bar by the petitioners, it appears that the petitioners are not really serious in pursuing petition or have some different idea, considering last working week, prior to vacation.

List after Christmas Vacation.”

2. Mr. B. G. Kulkarni, and Mr. A. D. Bhate, learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the petitioners have engaged another counsel. In fact, Mr. N. S. Warulkar, learned counsel, who has been engaged, is present before the Court. Considering the development, Mr. Kulkarni makes a request to discharge him.

3. Mr. Kulkarni, learned counsel stands discharged.

4. The development, however, fortifies what has been stated in the order dated 19.12.2024. The petitioners appear to be not serious in pursuing the petition.

5. In fact, the attempt to prolong the petition can be further seen from the application filed by the petitioner on 09.01.2025 seeking amendment, the cause for which arose in October, 2024. The application will be considered at the time of final hearing.

6. List for final hearing in the week commencing from 03.02.2025.

(Anil L. Pansare, J.)