



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,  
NAGPUR BENCH, AT NAGPUR.**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 877 OF 2017**

(Chandrakant Sheshraoji Darne V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

WITH

**WRIT PETITION NO. 881 OF 2017**

(Sudhakar Shamraoji Choudhari V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

WITH

**WRIT PETITION NO. 879 OF 2017**

(Vilas Narayan Chawat V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

WITH

**WRIT PETITION NO. 756 OF 2017**

(Prakash Haridas Choudhari V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

WITH

**WRIT PETITION NO. 880 OF 2017**

(Moreshwar Gulabrao Pohadare V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

WITH

**WRIT PETITION NO. 878 OF 2017**

(Bablu Ajabrao Wate V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

WITH

**WRIT PETITION NO. 882 OF 2017**

(Sudhakar Yadaorao Thute V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

WITH

**WRIT PETITION NO. 883 OF 2017**

(Ishwar Vitthalrao Kanarkar (since deceased) through L.Rs. V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
appearances, Court's orders of directions  
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. B. G. Kulkarni, Advocate for the petitioners  
Mr. H. D. Futane, AGP for respondent nos. 1 to 4 and 7  
Ms. R. P. Jog, Advocate for respondent nos. 5 and 6

**CORAM : ANIL L. PANSARE J.**

**DATED : 9-12-2024**

On previous date, following order was passed.

*“During the course of argument, the learned Counsel for respondent nos. 5 and 6 has shown me across the bar order-cum-correspondence dated 17/3/2007 addressed to the petitioners by the Deputy Director of Education, Nagpur Division, Nagpur. The order-cum-correspondence indicates that the petitioners had approached the Deputy Director of Education in terms of order passed by this Court in Writ Petition No. 4874/2006. The*

*petitioners had made representation before the Deputy Director against the order dated 11/8/2006 passed by the Education Officer recalling the approval granted in their favour. The order passed by the Education Officer has been upheld by the Deputy Director after giving opportunity of hearing to the petitioners.*

*2] The learned Counsel for respondent nos. 5 and 6 submits that this order was placed before the School Tribunal. She further submits that this order was not questioned by the petitioners at any time and, therefore, attained finality.*

*3] The petitioners have not disclosed the said important/vital fact. They are, therefore, called upon to show cause as to why this important/vital document has been not placed on record and why correct facts are not disclosed to the Court.*

*4] The learned Counsel for the petitioners seeks time to take instructions.*

*5] Stand over to 9/12/2024 strictly as last chance.”*

2. Mr. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks time to file detail affidavit. Time granted, however, subject to costs of Rs. 100/- in each petition to be paid to the High Court Bar Association, Nagpur within seven days from today.

3. Stand over to 16-12-2024.

**(Anil L. Pansare, J.)**