



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

WRIT PETITION No.1361 OF 2025

(Vijayalakshmi d/o. Anandrao Nitnavare Vs. Smita w/o. Keshav Tumram and another)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr. Anish Kathane, Advocate for petitioner.

CORAM : ROHIT W. JOSHI, J.

DATE : 02nd SEPTEMBER, 2025.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the present petitioner is sister of original tenant. He states that after the original tenant has vacated the suit property summons in the suit for recovery of rent were sought to be served on the same address i.e. the tenanted premises which were already vacated. He also points out that there is discrepancy in the three notices issued by the respondents/plaintiffs as regards the monthly rent claimed by the respondents-plaintiffs as also the period of arrears of rent.

2. In view of above, issue notice to the respondents, returnable in the week commencing from 22nd September, 2025.

3. The petitioner is permitted to serve the respondents by registered post acknowledgment due in addition to regular mode of service.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has paid sum of Rs.75,000/- with the learned executing Court.

5. In view of the statement made and having regard to the prima facie case is made out, execution of the impugned decree is stayed till the returnable date.

(ROHIT W. JOSHI, J.)

Wadode