



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APL) NO. 1409 OF 2023

(Satish Bansilal Chandak & Anr.
Vs.
State of Maharashtra & Anr.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's orders
---	---------------------------

Mr. S.V. Sirpurkar, Advocate for the Applicants.
Mr. Nikhil Joshi, APP for the Non-applicant/State.
Mr. R.M. Daga, Advocate for the Non-applicant No.2.

CORAM: URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE, J.

DATED : 16th FEBRUARY, 2026

1. Heard learned Counsel for the Applicants to some extent. It reveals that, by this Application the Applicants are seeking quashing of the FIR in connection with Crime No.492/2023 and the consequent proceeding arising out of the same bearing RCC No.440/2025. It is also pointed out that, initially Application under Section 156(3) of Cr.P.C. was rejected and revision against the same was also dismissed by the competent Court. Thereafter, on the basis of the order passed by the learned Magistrate on the second Application under Section 156(3) of Cr.P.C., the above FIR came to be lodged.

2. Learned APP, pointed out that during the charge-sheet the handwriting of the Applicants and the disputed handwriting is already forwarded to the Handwriting

Expert's opinion, however, it is yet to be received. In view of that, he seeks two weeks accommodation.

3. Considering the peculiar facts and the Handwriting Expert's opinion which is a vital document, list the matter on **30.03.2026**.

(URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE, J.)