



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO. 6449 OF 2017

[Krishnarao s/o Vyankateshwarrao Ravi & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda
of Coram, Appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. A.D. Dangore, Counsel for the petitioners.
Mr. S.S. Hulke, A.G.P. for respondent nos. 1 to 3/State.
Mr. M.M. Agnihotri, Counsel for respondent no.4.
Mr. N.R. Rode, Counsel for respondent no.5.

CORAM: ANIL L. PANSARE
AND NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, JJ.

DATE : 26/3/2026

The Counsel for the petitioners seeks time to place on record subsequent developments. The Counsel for respondent no.4 – MIDC has no objection, however, he submits that the appropriate remedy is to make Reference, which, in fact, has been made on 3/10/2017 (under acknowledgment).

2] The Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners had approached the office of respondent no.3, but were informed that the Reference is not traceable. He further submits that the final award is challenged for non-compliance of certain provisions, particularly Section 32(3) and 32(4) of the Maharashtra Industrial Development Act, 1961.

3] We request the learned A.G.P. to take

2

instructions, and make statement.

4] List on 6/4/2026.

(JUDGE)

(JUDGE)

Sumit