



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

Civil Application (CAW) No. 1808/2025 with
WRIT PETITION NO. 3825 OF 2017

[A.P.M.C. Nagpur through its Deputy Secretary (Incharge) Prashant D. Nerkar vs. The State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Department of Town Planning and ors.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda
of Coram, Appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. V. M. Gadkari, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. S. S. Doifode, AGP for the State/respondent nos. 1 and 2
Mr. P. S. Chauhan, Advocate for respondent nos. 3 to 11

CORAM : ANIL L. PANSARE AND
NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, JJ.

DATE : 30-03-2026.

On 12-3-2026, following order was passed.

“1. We have perused the earlier orders passed by this Court and, prima facie, it appears that nothing further survives for consideration in the present petition. However, Mr. Gadkari, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, submits that he has been recently appointed to represent the petitioner before this Court and seeks time to obtain instructions in the matter.

2. Time granted.

3. Stand over to 30th March, 2026.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks time on the ground that Senior Counsel is not in town. We may note that on one hand the petitioner is seeking early hearing by filing Civil Application No. 1808/2025 and on the other is seeking time. The civil application is accordingly rejected.



2

In addition to what we have noted in the order dated 12-3-2026, the petitioner shall go through the judgments in the case of (1) *Santosh Kumar and ors. Vs. Central Warehousing Corporation and anr. [(1986) 2 SCC 343]* and (2) *Satish Kumar Gupta and ors. Vs. State of Haryana and ors. [(2017) 4 SCC 760]* and make submissions as to how cause survive.

Stand over to 15-4-2026.

(JUDGE)

(JUDGE)

wasnik