



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 3825/2017.**

Agricultural Produce Marketing Committee, Nagpur

**-VERSUS-**

The State of Maharashtra and others.

---

Office notes, Office Memoranda of  
Coram, appearances, Court's orders  
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders

---

Shri S. Khedkar, Advocate for the Petitioner.  
Ms. N.P. Mehta, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos.1 and 2.  
Shri P.S. Chauhan, Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 to 11.

**CORAM : R.K. DESHPANDE &**  
**VINAY JOSHI, JJ.**

**DATE : JANUARY 15, 2019.**

Heard.

2. Rule made returnable early. Learned Counsel appearing for respective respondents, waive service.

3. Petitioner / acquiring body states that the entire amount was deposited by it and the same is also withdrawn by claimants. In this situation, it is not possible for us to grant interim relief in terms of prayer clause (iii) of the petition.



**2**

4. It is the State Government which has made the provisions of Section 24 of the Land Acquisition Act applicable, as a result of which the petitioner was required to deposit the amount of compensation. Hence, the matter can be settled ultimately in accordance with law.

**JUDGE**

**JUDGE**

Rgd.