



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 2614 OF 2011**

( ~~SD AKHTAR SD AJGAR~~ [PETITION STOOD DISMISSED AGAINST PETITIONER NO.1]  
SANTOSH SUKHADEV DUBE AND OTHERS

VS

NAGAR PARISHAD MEHKAR THR. THE CHIEF OFFICER, MEHKAR DIST. BULDANA )

*Office Notes, Office Memorandum of Coram,  
appearances, Court's orders or the directions,  
Judge's order  
and Registrar's orders.*

*Court's or*

Ms. Geeta Tiwari, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. K. P. Mahalle, Advocate for respondent No.1.

**CORAM : ROHIT W. JOSHI, J.**

**DATED : APRIL 24, 2026**

1) The dispute in the present petition pertains to claim for regularisation of the petitioners upon completion of 240 days in a calendar year. The complaint is dismissed by the learned Industrial Court on the ground that the petitioners were not working against any sanctioned post and that appointment of petitioners are on a contractual basis. The petitioner No.2 has filed an affidavit dated 03/02/2026 stating that similarly circumstanced candidates have been regularised in service by the respondent Municipal Council, list of such candidates, is at page 35 and 36.

2) Perusal of the complaint filed by the petitioner and judgment passed by the learned Industrial Court, will demonstrate that the complainants have set up a case that they were working on the post of "Valveman" in Water Supply Department of the Municipal Council since the year 1997.

- 3) The respondent Municipal Council is directed to file an affidavit clarifying as to whether any person appointed on contractual basis working on daily wages against non-sanctioned post is granted benefit of regularisation in service either by the Municipal Council on its own accord with the sanction of the State Government or pursuant to any order of the Court. The affidavit be filed before **05/05/2026**.

**( ROHIT W. JOSHI, J. )**