

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR.

Writ Petition No.5856 of 2007

(Anandilal s/o. Hajarimal Bhutada and anr. vs. The Shegaon Municipal Council,
Shegaon and Ors.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders or directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr.F.T.Mirza, Advocate - amicus curiae.
Mr.D.M.Kale, Advocate for respondent no.1.
Mrs.Bharti Dangre, GP for respondent nos. 2 to 5/State.
Mr.A.R.Patil, Advocate for respondent no.6.
Mr.P.N.Kothari, Advocate for respondent no.8.
Mr.N.P.Lambat, Advocate for respondent no.9.
Mr.S.P.Bhandarkar, Advocate for respondent no.10.
Mr.S.E.Raghorde, Advocate h/f. Mr.D.M.Kakani,
Advocate for respondent no.11.
Mr.D.V.Chavan Advocate, Mr.J.B.Gandhi, Advocate and
Mr.S.R.Deshpande, Advocate for intervenors.

**CORAM : B.R.GAVAI AND
V.M.DESHPANDE, JJ.**

DATE : 17.11.2016.

Heard.

On the last date when the matter was listed, it was pointed out to us by the learned amicus curiae that the proposal for re-locating the residents in Khalwadi area is estimated at Rs.105 Crores. Taking into consideration the expenditure which was incurred while relocating the occupants in Matangpura area, we were of the view that the estimates were highly exorbitant. We had, therefore, adjourned the matter till today so as to enable the learned

Government Pleader to take instructions in the matter.

The learned Government Pleader today states that the meeting was held by the Divisional Commissioner, Amravati on 7.11.2016. The learned Government Pleader further states that the Divisional Commissioner himself was of the opinion that the expenditure is highly on the higher side. She further states that now the meeting is scheduled to be held on 29.11.2016.

We find that, for effective consideration of the present matter, it is appropriate that the meetings of the Committee are held in presence of the learned amicus curiae, the learned Government Pleader and the learned Counsel appearing on behalf of Shegaon Sansthan, MHADA and the Municipal Council respectively. We, therefore, direct the Divisional Commissioner to re-schedule the meeting and convene it on the date in consultation with the learned Government Pleader so that all the five Counsel can attend the meeting taking into consideration urgency in the matter.

Though we do not direct that the meeting be convened on 26.11.2016, in our opinion, since it is Saturday, the said date would be convenient to every one. We are sure that the learned Divisional Commissioner

will take this into consideration.

Stand over to 1.12.2016.

JUDGE

JUDGE

jaiswal