

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

PUBLIC INTEREST TITIGATION NO. 15 OF 2021

Swacch Association through its Secretary, Sharad Biharilal Paliwal

-Vs-

Ministry of Environment and Forest Through its Secretary Indira Paryavaran Bhawan

WITH

PUBLIC INTEREST TITIGATION NO. 9 OF 2021

Shweta Dipendra Burbure and anr.

-Vs-

Nagpur Municipal Corporation , Nagpur through Municipal Commissioner/Garden
Superintendent and ors.

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders.

Mr. R.M.Tahaliyani, counsel for the petitioner in PIL No.15 of 2021.
Ms. Mugdha Chandurkar, counsel for respondent no.1 in PIL No.15 of 2021.
Mr.Anand Parchure, counsel for respondent No.2 in PIL No.15 of 2021
Mr.S.S.Sanyal, Ms.Shiba Thakur, counsel for respondent no.6 in PIL No.15 of 2021.
Mr. C.S.Kaptan, Senior Advocate a/b Mr. J.B.Kasat, counsel for respondent nos.7 and 8 in PIL No.15 of 2021.
Mr.A.S.Fulzele, Addl.G.P for Nos.4 and 5 in PIL No.15 of 2021.
Mr. M. Anilkumar counsel for petitioner in PIL No.9 of 2021.
Mr. C.S.Kaptan Senior Advocate a/b Mr. J.B.Kasat, counsel for respondent nos.1 and 11 in PIL No.9 of 2021.
Mr.A.S.Fulzele, Addl.G.P for respondent nos.2 to 4 and 10 in PIL No.9 of 2021.
Mr.S.S.Sanyal, Ms.Shiba Thakur counsel for respondent no.5 in PIL No.9 of 2021.
Ms. Mugdha Chandurkar counsel for respondent nos.6,8 and 9 in PIL No.9 of 2021.
Mr.N.P.Lambat, counsel for respondent no.7 in PIL No.9 of 2021.

**CORAM : SUNIL B.SHUKRE &
ANIL S.KILOR, JJ.**

DATE : 04.08.2021.

1. As per the order passed on 07.07.2021, these petitions ought not to have been listed today on board for hearing. Any way, we make it clear that the decision in the matter would have to be taken by the Tree Officer and the decision to be taken by him may also include a decision regarding his having jurisdiction or not having

jurisdiction to adjudicate upon the objections that he has received. In case, he is of the opinion that he is not having any jurisdiction in the matter, he would be at liberty to refer all the objections to the Authority having jurisdiction in the matter.

2. The Authority having jurisdiction in the matter, may take its decision in accordance with law and it is advisable that it take its such decision as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of the order.

(ANIL S. KILOR,J)

(SUNIL B. SHUKRE,J)