



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO.3836 OF 2021

SHARAD S/O BHIWAJI GEDAM

VS

STATE OF MAHA. THR. SECY., DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL JUSTICE AND
SPECIAL ASSISTANCE, MUMBAI AND OTHERS

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders or directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. Anand Parchure, Advocate for the petitioner/s
Mr. H.D. Marathe, AGP for the respondent Nos.1 and 3/State
Mr. U.R. Phasate, Advocate for the respondent No.2

CORAM : ANIL S. KILOR AND RAJ D. WAKODE, JJ.

DATE : 12.03.2026

1. In the present petition, the petitioner has sought the benefit of the Assured Progress Scheme in terms of the Government Resolution dated 01.04.2010, on the ground that similarly situated employees of Corporations like respondent No.2 have been granted the said benefit.
2. The attention of this Court has been drawn to the pleadings made in the said petition. However, the reply filed by respondent No.1 is vague and does not justify the action of not extending such benefit to the petitioner, except stating that the said scheme is not applicable to the petitioner. There is also no denial of the fact stated in the petition that similar benefit has been extended to other similarly circumstanced employees of the Corporation.
3. Therefore, we direct respondent No.1-State to file a para-wise reply on affidavit within a period of two weeks,

failing which the concerned officer shall remain present before this Court on the next date along with the relevant record.

4. This direction is issued for the reason that the present petition has been pending since the year 2021, i.e. for last five years, and there is no reply filed by the State either denying or admitting the pleadings made in the petition, or justifying the action of denial of the benefit as claimed by the petitioner.

5. Stand over to **26.03.2026**.

(RAJ D. WAKODE, J.)

(ANIL S. KILOR, J.)