



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,  
NAGPUR BENCH, AT NAGPUR.**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 208 OF 2026**

**IN**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 6100 OF 2024(D)**

(Umesh s/o Vithalrao Sarap Vs. Smt. Anita Meshram, Zilla Parishad, Akola thr its Chief Executive Officer and ors)

-----  
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
appearances, Court's orders of directions  
and Registrar's orders  
-----

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. G.I. Dipwani, Advocate for petitioner.

**CORAM :**        **ANIL L. PANSARE &  
RAJNISH R. VYAS, JJ.**  
**DATED :**        **17-06-2026.**

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

2.        He has invited our attention to the order dated 22.10.2024, passed in Writ Petition No. 6100/2024, more particularly, at paragraph 3 and contended that though, the Chief Executive Officer was directed to decide the representation expeditiously and in any case, within a period of four weeks from production of the order, the order is not complied with. He submits that the order passed was communicated to the non-applicants on 25.4.2025.

3.        Prima facie, we find substance in the argument advanced by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

In light of this aspect, issue Contempt Notice in Form No.1, Chapter XXXIV of The Bombay High Court Appellate Side Rules, 1960 to respondents/non-applicants, returnable on 8.7.2026.

(RAJNISH R. VYAS, J)

(ANIL L. PANSARE, J)