



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

WRIT PETITION No.5725 OF 2024

(Devidas Pralhad Sarsar Vs. State of Maharashtra, through Secretary, Town Planning
Department, Mumbai and another)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr. Yogesh B. Sonone, Advocate for Petitioner.
Mr. H.D. Futane, AGP for Respondent No.1.
Mr. J.B. Kasat, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

CORAM : **SMT. M.S. JAWALKAR AND**
NANDESH S. DESHPANDE, JJ.

DATE : **15th APRIL, 2026.**

1. After hearing the parties for some time, learned counsel Mr. J.B. Kasat, appearing for the respondent No.2 points us out that there is alternate remedy inasmuch as the petitioner can approach before the Industrial Court as even if the contentions for the petitioner accepted that would amount to an unfair labour practice as provided under MRTU AND PULP Act and more particularly Item 9 of Schedule IV of the Act.

2. Being confronted, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks some time to point us out any binding precedent in that regard. Time granted.

3. Stand over to 17th June, 2026.

(NANDESH S. DESHPANDE, J.)

(SMT. M.S. JAWALKAR, J.)