

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR**

**WRIT PETITION NO.2832 OF 2018**

Veenatai w/o Vishweshwar Bhagat

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra. Thr. its Secretary, Dept. of Minor Irrigation, Mumbai  
and ors.

-----  
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
appearances, Court's orders of directions  
and Registrar's orders  
-----

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri R. M. Pande, Advocate for petitioner.  
Shri S. B. Bissa, AGP for respondent Nos.1 to 3 & 5.  
Shri V. G. Palshikar, Advocate for respondent No.4.

**CORAM : Z.A.HAQ, J.**

**DATED : MAY 22, 2018.**

Heard.

The grievance of the petitioner is that though the award was passed on 15<sup>th</sup> June, 2010, possession of the acquired land was not taken and the respondents are now trying to dispossess the petitioner.

Shri V. G. Palshikar, learned Advocate appearing for the respondent No.4 (Acquiring Body) and Shri S. B. Bissa, learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for respondent Nos.1 to 3 and 5 have not been able to point out any document to show that possession of the acquired land is taken from the petitioner.

The learned Advocates for the respondents seek

time to file reply.

By interim order, the possession of the petitioner over the land in question is protected and the respondents are restrained from interfering with her possession until further orders.

List the petition for further consideration on **15<sup>th</sup> June, 2018.**

**JUDGE**