



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.3913/2023

Union Bank of India .Vs. The Union of India and Ors.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. S. D. Ingole, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Anand Parchure and Mr. Bhushan Mohata, Advocates for
respondent Nos. 2 and 6.

Mr. R. R. Dawda, Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

CORAM : ANIL L. PANSARE AND NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, JJ.

DATE : MARCH 27, 2026.

Learned counsel for petitioner seeks time to file counter
affidavit. Granted.

2. The counsel for respondent Nos.4 and 5, however, submits
that the petition has become infructuous because of the subsequent
developments, which the petitioner has disclosed to the NCLT on
08.09.2025.

3. If what is submitted by counsel for respondent Nos.4 and 5
is true, petitioner – bank ought to have informed the development to
this Court. We accordingly call upon the petitioner – bank to show
cause as to why this vital fact is not brought to our notice and why
appropriate action should not be recommended against the officials
concerned.

4. Stand over to 17.04.2026.

(JUDGE)

(JUDGE)