



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH, AT NAGPUR.**

Writ Petition No. 2641 of 2021

[The Officer, Nagar Panchayat Barshitakli, Tah. Barshitakli, Dist. Akola ..vs.. The Officer under Minimum Wages Act, 1948 Akola and Assistant Labour Commissioner, Akola and anr.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. J. B. Gandhi, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. H. D. Futane, AGP for the State

CORAM : ANIL L. PANSARE J.

DATED : 14-11-2024

The contention is that respondent no. 1 has decided claim filed by respondent no. 2 without giving opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

It appears that respondent no. 2 has filed claim of minimum wages and dearness allowance in terms of Section 20 of the Minimum Wages Act, 1948. Along with claim, she has also filed application seeking condonation of delay. By the impugned order, respondent no. 1 has decided the application seeking condonation of delay as also the claim made by respondent no. 2. According to the petitioner, after condoning delay, respondent no. 1 has never issued any notice to the petitioner and, therefore, no opportunity of hearing was given.

Issue notice, returnable in three weeks.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader waives service of notice for respondent no. 1.

(Anil L. Pansare, J.)