



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR**

**Writ Petition No.2664 of 2018**

*Ravindra s/o Mahadeo Sirsat*

vs.

*The State of Maharashtra, through its Secretary, Town Planning Department,  
Mantralaya, Mumbai and others*

-----  
*Office notes, Office Memoranda of  
Coram, appearances, Court's orders  
or directions and Registrar's orders.*

*Court's or Judge's Orders*

-----  
*Mr. P.S. Wathore, Advocate for the Petitioner.  
Mr. S.A. Ashirgade, Additional G.P. for Respondent Nos.1 to 3.  
Mr. D.M. Kale, Advocate for Respondent No.4.  
Mrs. U.A. Patil, Advocate for Respondent No.5.*

**CORAM : ANIL L. PANSARE & NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, JJ.**  
**DATE : 24<sup>th</sup> MARCH, 2026.**

In response to the order dated 10<sup>th</sup> March, 2026, Mrs. Jayashree Katkar-Boraje-Chief Officer is present and seeks time to place on record the necessary details.

02. Time, as sought, is granted.

03. The learned Counsel for respondent No.4-Chief Officer, however, submits that the reply filed on behalf of respondent No. 4 is based on the decision taken by the Committee. Thus, respondent No. 4 wants to shift the burden on the Committee, which was headed by the Divisional Commissioner. We, accordingly, call upon the learned Additional Government Pleader to take instructions and file an affidavit-in-reply.

04. The reply shall be filed on or before 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2026.

05. List the matter in the week commencing from 6<sup>th</sup> April, 2026.

06. In the meantime, the respondents may take corrective steps, if the petitioner is otherwise entitled for a shop in the commercial complex.

(Nivedita P. Mehta, J.)

(Anil L. Pansare, J.)