



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CIVIL APPLICATION (CAW) NO. 1235 OF 2026

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 3029 OF 2026

DADASAHEB GAWAI CHARITABLE TRUST, THR. SECRETARY, KAMLAKAR PAYAS
AND ANOTHER

VS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA, THR. SECRETARY, HIGHER AND TECHNICAL
EDUCATION DEPT., MUMBAI AND ORS.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. P.S. Chawhan, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. S.S. Hulke, AGP for the respondent/State.
Mr. Puri, counsel for the respondent No. 4.
Mr. A.D. Mohgaonkar, counsel for the intervenor.

CORAM : NANDESH S. DESHPANDE, J.

DATED : 22.05.2026

CIVIL APPLICATION (CAW) NO. 1235 OF 2026

1. Mr. A.D. Mohgaonkar, learned counsel for applicant, tenders across the Bench a copy of the civil application, which is for intervention. According to Mr. A.D. Mohgaonkar, the applicants/intervenors are the employees of the petitioner No. 2 college and have a subsisting interest matter in the petition. He therefore prays that the intervention application be allowed.

2. Mr. P.S. Chawhan, learned counsel for the petitioner, was gracious enough not to object to the said application. In that view of the matter, the application is

allowed. The petitioner is directed to make the intervenors/ applicants as party respondents in the present petition and serve a copy of the petition upon them.

WRIT PETITION NO. 3029 OF 2026

1. Mr. Puri, learned counsel appearing for the respondent No. 4, on instructions states that the letter dated 25.03.2026, which is impugned by the petitioner in the present petition, has been withdrawn by respondent No. 4 and the said respondent is ready to give a hearing to the petitioner.

2. In that view of the matter, it is directed that respondent No. 4 should give a hearing to the petitioner as also all the concerned parties who are entitled to be heard and take an appropriate decision in accordance with law. The petitioner as also all the concerned parties including the intervenors before this Court should appear before MSBTE. It is however made clear that the hearing to any other party except for the petitioner is to be considered in accordance with law and this Court has not expressed any opinion regarding the applicants/intervenors being heard in the matter. It is however left to the wisdom of the MSBTE to grant a hearing to the employees if it is permissible in accordance with law, more particularly looking at the fact that there is a serious dispute between the employees and the management. The MSBTE would give a hearing to the

concerned parties as stated supra on 04.06.2026. at 11.00 a.m.

3. Mr. A.D. Mohgaonkar, learned counsel for the newly added intervenors, submits that the substantive petition at the behest of the employees is already pending before this Court, bearing Writ Petition No. 1941 of 2026 where the constitutional validity of amendment to Section 35 of the Maharashtra State Board of Technical Education Act, 1997 is under challenge. It is worthwhile to mention that notices were issued in the said matter on 06.03.2026

4. In that view of the matter, list along with 1941 of 2026.

5. Stand over to 10.06.2026.

(NANDESH S. DESHPANDE, J.)