



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

Writ Petition No.1984 of 2025

Bablu @ Babulal S/o Tulsiramji Harankhede

vs.

*The Additional Collector, Nagpur & the First Appellate Authority, The Maharashtra Slum
(Improvement, Clearance and Re-development) Act, 1971, Civil Lines, Nagpur and
others*

*Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.*

Court's or Judge's Orders

*Mr. A.D. Patil, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Ms. P.C. Bawankule, A.G.P. for Respondent No.1.*

CORAM : ANIL L. PANSARE, J.

DATE : 9th APRIL, 2025.

Heard.

02. The challenge is to the order passed by respondent No.1 refusing to condone delay in filing appeal. This is yet another case where the petitioner is blaming his earlier Counsel for being negligent resulting into delay in filing appeal before the Additional Collector, Nagpur.

03. The petitioner shall add learned Counsel Mr. Madanlal Parate as party-respondent in terms of the judgment passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the case of **Kanta @ Shanti w/o Subhash Karkale vs. Manjulabai @ Kholki w/o Haribhau Tarare and another – (2020) 1 Mh.L.J. 918**

04. The amendment be carried out forthwith.

05. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable in three weeks.

06. The learned A.G.P. waives service of notice for respondent No.1.

07. In addition to usual mode of service, the petitioner shall serve respondents by all permissible mode including speed post and shall file affidavit of service.

08. The learned Counsel for the petitioner is praying for interim order.

09. The reason assigned for delay, apart from the fact that are not sufficient, is something by which the petitioner has blamed his previous Counsel and unless he is heard, no relief could be granted. The request for interim relief is, therefore, denied.

(Anil L. Pansare, J.)

**sandesh*