



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO. 2995 OF 2016

[Ratnadip Nandanvan Vyayamshala, New Nandanvan, through its Secretary Shankar J. Gaidhane vs. State of Maharashtra through its Secretary, Urban Development Department and ors.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda
of Coram, Appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. Anand Parchure, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. A. B. Badar, AGP for respondent no. 1
Mr. G. A. Kunte, Advocate for respondent no. 2
Mr. R. S. Kalangiware, Advocate for respondent no. 3
Mr. H. R. Gadhia, Advocate for respondent no. 4

CORAM : **ANIL L. PANSARE AND**
NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, JJ.

DATE : **21-01-2026.**

Heard for some time.

The first prayer is seeking direction against the respondents for taking steps to cancel the regularization of construction made by the members of respondent No. 4 on the playground at Survey Nos. 597/5 and 597/6 of New Nandanvan, Nagpur.

Thus, the petitioner has come up with a categorical case that members of respondent No.4 have made construction on playground at Survey Nos. 597/5 and 597/6. The regularized map is annexed by respondent No. 2 and not by the petitioner, which is at page number 80.

2

Learned counsel for the Nagpur Improvement Trust submits that there is no such encroachment as alleged by the petitioner.

Thus, there is statement against statement, which means, one out of two is either making incorrect statements or misleading the Court. We accordingly, call upon the petitioner and respondent No. 2 - both to deposit amount of Rs. 1 Lakh each, which shall be deposited within 10 days from today.

We call upon both the parties to place on record evidence in support of respective statements.

List on 5-2-2026.

(JUDGE)

(JUDGE.)

wasnik