

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR**

**WRIT PETITION NO.2527 OF 2026**

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THE COMMISSIONER SPORTS  
AND YOUTH SERVICES PUNE AND OTHERS

**VERSUS**

DNYANESHWAR S/O PRAKASH TALE

<i>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</i>	<i>Court's or Judge's orders</i>
--	----------------------------------

Ms. K.H. Bhondge, AGP for Petitioners-State.  
Mr. P.J. Mehta, Advocate for Respondent.

**CORAM : PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, J.**

**DATED : 15<sup>th</sup> APRIL 2026**

1. Heard learned AGP for the petitioners-State.
2. The petitioners have challenged the judgment and order dated 05.04.2025, passed by Industrial Court, Akola, directing regularization of the respondent.
3. It is submitted that the respondent was not appointed by any recruitment process and therefore, the petitioners cannot be treated to be 'employers'. It is also submitted that the respondent-employee failed to establish its case for regularization and hence, the impugned order is unsustainable.
4. Learned AGP invites my attention to the judgment and order, passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.7181 of 2016 and submits that a similar complaint filed by another employee was dismissed by this Court. She further submits that the respondent-

employee has initiated proceedings of contempt based on the impugned order and therefore, prays for interim relief.

5. In view of this, issue notice to the respondent, returnable after four weeks.

6. Advocate Mr. P.J. Mehta, who appears for respondent, waives service of notice on behalf of sole respondent.

7. It is directed that no coercive action be taken against the petitioners based on the impugned order, till next date.

**(PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, J.)**

asd