



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 3289 OF 2026**

[Syed Samiuddin Syed Hakimuddin vs. The State of Maharashtra, through its Secretary,  
Finance Department and ors.]

-----  
Office Notes, Office Memoranda  
of Coram, Appearances, Court's orders  
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's orders

-----  
Mr. A. G. Ambetkar, Advocate for the petitioner through video  
conferencing  
Mr. A. V. Palshikar, A.G.P for the State

**CORAM : ANIL L. PANSARE AND**  
**RAJNISH R. VYAS, JJ.**

**DATE : 08-06-2026.**

Heard.

The argument is that the issue involved is covered by the judgment of this Court in the case of *Prashant Dnyaneshwar Chimne and ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra and ors. (Writ Petition No. 4632/2023 and other connected writ petitions) dated 19-7-2024* wherein the Court held that the petitioners thus were entitled to be governed under the old pension scheme in terms of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982 and the Maharashtra Civil Services (Communication of Pension) Rules, 1984 and provisions of General Provident Fund. The petitioners were appointed prior to 1-11-2005. In the present case, the petitioner is appointed on 1-9-2001 and thus is entitled for the same relief.

**2**

On the strength of submissions so made, issue notice, returnable in four weeks.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader waives notice for the State/respondent nos. 1 to 5.

In addition to usual mode, the petitioner shall serve respondent nos. 6 and 7 by all permissible modes including service by Speed Post and shall file affidavit of service.

**(RAJNISH R. VYAS, J.)**

**(ANIL L. PANSARE, J.)**

*wasnik*