



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

- 1) WRIT PETITION NO.1479/2020
 (The Principal, Hislop College, Nagpur and another vs. Amit Micheal Gedam)
 &
 2) WRIT PETITION NO. 1480/2020
 (The Principal, Hislop College, Nagpur and another vs. Smt. Tarabai Hemraj Patil)
 &
 3) WRIT PETITION NO. 1481/2020
 (The Principal, Hislop College, Nagpur and another vs. Niket Jogesh Mohite)
 &
 4) WRIT PETITION NO. 1482/2020
 (The Principal, Hislop College, Nagpur and another vs. Sukhwanta Rajendra Jagane)
 &
 5) WRIT PETITION NO. 1483/2020
 (The Principal, Hislop College, Nagpur and another vs. Sebastian Aric Swamy Anthony)
 &
 6) WRIT PETITION NO. 1484/2020
 (The Principal, Hislop college, Nagpur and another vs. Sudhir Suresh Shikkalwar)
 &
 7) WRIT PETITION NO. 1485/2020
 (The Principal, Hislop College, Nagpur And another Vs. Smt. Savita Durgaprasad Jagane
 with
 8) WRIT PETITION NO. 1486/2020
 (The Principal, Hislop College, Nagpur And another Vs. Smt. Nanda Narayan Kamble
 with
 9) WRIT PETITION NO. 1487/2020
 (The Principal, Hislop College, Nagpur And another Vs.Prashant Narresh Ahirrao)

 Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
 appearances, Court's orders of directions
 and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

 In all petitions :

Mr. S.S.Sanyal, Advocate with Mr. V.Subramaniam, Advocate for petitioners.
 Mr. A.S.Mehadia, Advocate for respondent.

CORAM : PRAVIN S. PATIL, J.

DATED : 22.12.2025.

1. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties for some time, the learned counsel for the respondent-employees pointed out to this Court that litigation is going on between the parties since the year 2012. The respondents are presently working in the institute of the petitioners and out of them, two employees are recently attained

the age of superannuation. According to the respondents, they are receiving a very meager amount for the services rendered by them.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners has pointed out that one of the issue involved in the present matters has been referred to a Larger Bench and, therefore, this Court by order dated 30th April, 2024, with the consent of both the parties, has decided to hear these matters, after said reference is answered by the Larger Bench. According to the petitioners, the said reference is yet not answered by the Larger Bench.

3. The learned counsel for the respondents states that at the relevant time, considering the then actual position, he has consented that these matters be heard after the issue decided by Larger Bench as noted in the order dated 30.4.2024. However, considering the present condition of the respondent-employees, who are finding difficult of their survival in meager salary, prayed that the present petitions be decided as expeditiously as possible.

4. In view of above, list the matter for final disposal on 12th January, 2026.

(PRAVIN S. PATIL, J.)