



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO.2154 OF 2021

Adarsha Shikshan Sanstha, Sawari, Dist. Bhandara and ors.

-vs-

State of Maharashtra, Thr. Secretary, Dept. of Education, Mantralaya, Mumbai and ors.

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders.

Shri Prashant N. Shende, Advocate for petitioners.
Shri A. A. Madiwale, Assistant Government Pleader for respondent
Nos.1 to 4.

CORAM : A. S. CHANDURKAR AND M. W. CHANDWANI JJ.

DATE : March 13, 2023

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties for sometime. Though affidavit in reply has been filed pursuant to the order dated 14/12/2022, it would be necessary for the respondents to explain the aspect of staff justification for the year 2007-2008 and onwards indicating entitlement to one post of Full Time Librarian and the reason why the petitioner No.3 cannot be granted benefit of the same. If the post of Full Time Librarian is admissible as per the strength of students and petitioner No.3 is the only Librarian serving, it would have to be indicated why benefit of the same cannot be granted to the petitioner No.3.

To enable the additional affidavit to be filed, stand over three weeks.

(M. W. Chandwani, J.)

(A. S. Chandurkar, J.)