



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 1 OF 2024

Satish Mahadeorao Uke and another

Versus

State of Maharashtra through Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai and others

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's Orders
or directions and Registrar's order

Court's or Judge's Order

Shri Satish Uke, Petitioner in person.

Shri S.S.Doifode, APP for the respondent nos. 1 to 4, 8 to
10/State.

Ms. Shweta S. Gandhi, Advocate for the respondent no.5.

**CORAM : ANIL L. PANSARE &
NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, JJ.**

DATED : 6th JANUARY, 2026.

Petitioner-in-person submits that learned Additional Public
Prosecutor has not filed the reply for last one year.

2. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that the reply will be
positively filed on next date. So also, learned counsel for the respondent no.5
submits that the reply will be filed on next date.

3. The prayer is for quashing and setting aside the First Information
Report No. 53 of 2022 registered at Police Station Ajni, Nagpur and to quash and
set aside the charge-sheet in Regular Criminal Case No. 3625 of 2022 pending
before the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Nagpur, with consequential
prayer seeking directions to learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Nagpur to not
proceed with the matter in RCC No. 3625 of 2022. The consequential prayers will
also include the prayers depending on substantive prayer.

Order
modified as
per Court's
order dt.
02.02.2026

4. Thus, the substantive prayer is for quashing of charge-sheet filed, registered as Regular Criminal Case No. 3625 of 2022.
5. That being so, the Registry shall place the petition before the learned Single Judge in accordance with the amended rules of Bombay High Court Appellate Side Rules, 1960.
6. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has invited our attention to the names of respondent nos. 6 and 7 and submits that they are not necessary parties.
7. The petitioner-in-person seeks permission to delete the names of respondent nos. 6 and 7. Permission granted.
8. Names of the respondent nos. 6 and 7 shall be deleted forthwith.
9. List the petition before the learned Single judge, once the names of the respondent nos. 6 and 7 are deleted.

[JUDGE]

[JUDGE]

Corrected order.

[JUDGE]

[JUDGE]