



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

2 PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 9 OF 2024

BABANRAO VISHWANATH SUPEKAR AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for the Petitioners : Mr. S.S. Thombre
GP for Respondent 1/State : Mr. A.B. Girase
Advocate for Respondents 2 to 4 : Mr. S.S. Dande

...

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
&
R.M. JOSHI, JJ.

DATE :- 26th February, 2024

Per Court :-

1. We have perused the report of the learned Registrar (Judicial) dated 29.12.2023 wherein, an opinion is expressed along with reasons that the cause raised in this petition involves public interest.

2. The Writ Petition Branch has also submitted a report that the Petitioners have complied with Rules 5 and 7 of the Bombay High Court Public Interest Litigation Rules, 2010 and the petition is as per the prescribed format.

3. The grievance of the Petitioners is that an open space marked as O.S. 22 abutting the MIDC road, is being

allotted to an industrial establishment by name R.K. Royal Constro LLP. The serious grievance of the Petitioners is that this is a 'shell' establishment and an MLA from the adjoining taluka, who is the Minister in the present Council of Ministers in the State of Maharashtra, is the person who is demanding the said plot to be converted into an industrial plot. Email ID of the company is shown as "sandipanbhumare@gmail.com" and the name 'Sandipan Bhumare' indicates the name of the Minister.

4. Leave to add R.K. Royal Constro LLP through Mr. Dattatraya Arjun Revadkar, with the complete postal address, as Respondent No.5. Addition be carried out forthwith.

5. **Issue notice** to the Respondents, returnable on 20.03.2024. The learned AGP waives service of notice on behalf of Respondent No.1. Shri Dande, the learned Advocate, waives service of notice on behalf of Respondent Nos.2, 3 and 4.

6. All office objections, except the map at page No.29, to be removed on or before 12.03.2024, failing which, the petition would stand dismissed without further reference to the Court on 13.03.2024.

7. Shri Dande submits, on instructions, that the plot O.S. 22 has still not been allotted to Respondent No.5.

8. As such, we direct the MIDC not to allot the said plot to any entity/person, without the leave of this Court.

kps

(R.M. JOSHI, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)