



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

13. WRIT PETITION No. 322 /2020

Vinod Dattatraya Ambekar ...Petitioner
VERSUS
The State of Maharashtra and others ...Respondents

WITH
20. WRIT PETITION No. 501 /2020

Vinod Dattatraya Ambekar ...Petitioner
VERSUS
The State of Maharashtra and others ...Respondents

Mr. S.P. Salgar, Adv., h/f. Mr. N.V. Gaware, Adv. for petitioner
Mr. S.W. Munde, Asstt. Govt. Pleader for Respts. No.1 to 5/State

CORAM : ROHIT B. DEO, J.

DATE : 13th January, 2020

PER COURT:

1. As per the oral request of the learned Counsel for the petitioner, leave is granted to substitute the copy of sale deed with corrected sale deed and to correct the mutation entry No.
2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 24th February 2020.
3. The learned Asstt. Govt. Pleader waives service for respondents No.1 to 5.
4. The submission of the learned Counsel for the petitioner



is that the enquiry conducted by the Tahsildar, in purported exercise of the powers under Rule 31 of the Maharashtra Land Revenue Record of Rights and Registers (Preparation and Maintenance) Rules, 1971 is seriously flawed and it was not conducted in accordance with the Rules.

5. The learned Counsel would submit that the direction of the Tahsildar that the name of respondent No.6 , Shri Sitaram Kisan Rote, be shown in cultivation column, was rightly set aside by the Sub-Divisional Officer in appeal. Respondent No.6 preferred a further appeal before the Additional Collector, which came to be dismissed and so was the revision preferred by respondent No.6 before the Additional Commissioner. The Hon'ble Minister has allowed the revision of respondent No.6 substantially, if not entirely, on the basis of the report of the Tahsildar.

6. In the interregnum, there shall be ad-interim relief in terms of Prayer Clause (C) .

(ROHIT B. DEO)
JUDGE.