



(1)

904 cri apeal 960.25

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

904 CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 960 OF 2025

**SAJID QURESHI ALIAS SAJJU AHMED QURESHI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

.....

Advocate for Appellant : Mr. R.D. Padaswan h/f. Ghanekar Nilesh S.

APP for Respondents/State : Mr. V.M. Chate

Advocate for R/2 : Mr. C.V. Thombre

Advocate for R/3 : Mr. D.S Kale

.....

CORAM : Y.G. KHOBRAGADE, J.

DATE : 26.03.2026

PC.:-

1. As per the office note amended memo is not filed, however, as per order dated 30.01.2026 leave was granted to amend the cause title and correct the name of respondent no.3. Accordingly, amendment is already carried out. Hence, objection is overruled.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the appellant seeks short accommodation on the ground that he has just now received the copy of reply filed by respondent no.2. Stand over to 09.04.2026.

[Y.G. KHOBRAGADE, J.]