

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

40 WRIT PETITION NO. 13183 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3018 OF 2024
IN WP/13183/2017

HARIDAS UTTAM JADHWAR AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
JAIHIND MURLIDHAR KADAM AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioners : Mr. S.s. Thombre
Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 to 7 : Mr. Gunale Prakash G.

...

CORAM : S. G. MEHARE, J.

DATE : 19th MARCH, 2024.

PER COURT :

1. The petitioners did not take step to bring the legal representatives of respondent No. 1 on record. However, respondent No. 2 is his brother. Therefore, the matter be proceeded ahead without bringing the legal representatives of respondent No. 1.

2. Learned Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 7 submits that petitioners are the purchasers of the suit land

from the original landlord. Their rights to purchase the land under the Tenancy Act have been confirmed. However, the interim protection has been obtained since long and the matter could not reach. The petitioners are not diligent in listing the matter. Therefore, the interim protection may be vacated.

3. Per contra, learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that the interim protection was granted in presence of the learned Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 7.

4. Fact remains that this way or the other the matter could not be disposed of. However, both the learned counsels are ready to advance the arguments at the admission stage finally. Hence list the matter for final hearing at admission stage on 16.04.2024 at 2.30 p.m.

(S. G. MEHARE)
JUDGE

mahajansb/