



2026:BHC-AUG:21005-DB

(1)

1000cp807.18

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**1000 CONT. PETITION NO. 807 OF 2018  
IN WP/99/2016**

**BALAJI MAROTI KALAL AND OTHERS**

....Petitioners

**VERSUS**

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

.....Respondents

Mr. V. S. Panpatte, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. V. K. Kotecha, AGP for the respondents/State

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL AND  
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.**

**DATE : 04<sup>th</sup> JANUARY, 2024**

**P. C.**

1. Without there being any specific stand in the affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of respondent No.3 about inability to pay arrears to the petitioner to have been absorbed in the same order. Learned advocate on her behalf submits that for want of salary bills in respect of arrears, it could not be processed.

2. Let respondent No. 3 file additional affidavit.



(2)

1000cp807.18

3. Even the learned advocate who appears for respondent Nos. 4 and 5 shall ensure that short affidavit is filed about having forwarded to substantiate stand that the bills for the arrears were forwarded.

4. Stand over to 18-01-2024.

**[SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.]**

**[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]**

VishalK/1000cp807.18